

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
County of Alameda, Rene C. Davidson Alameda County Courthouse  
Case No. RG07336497

Date: September 21, 2007

Petitioner: Kristin Sue Lucas

Petitioner represented by: Kristin Sue Lucas

Judge: The Honorable Frank Roesch

Transcript of Change of Name Hearing:

JR : Kristin Lucas... You are the last one on the calendar today, Miss Lucas. Because I really don't quite understand. This process requires a change to be taking place. And you're not asking for anything to change. You're asking for this order that to you stays the same.

KL: I have prepared a brief statement, um, to read to the court, if I may.

JR : You may.

KL: Okay.

KL: Your honor I am hear for a refresh.

A renewal of self.

I consider this act to be a poetic gesture and a birthday gift.

I am ready for an update.

An intervention into my life.

I am here to be born again as myself, or at the very least, the most current version of myself.

I am prepared to let go.

To empty my cache.

To refill the screen with the same information.

To reboot knowing that the new Kristin Lucas may experience a tremendous sense of loss, detachment, or disappointment, or joy.

Kristin Lucas is ready for change.

And Kristin Lucas awaits her replacement.

JR : But it's not a change.

KL: I feel it is a change if it's entered into the system. And it's, it's like, like uh, like uhm, honoring your vows in marriage.

It's... uh. It's... I'm considering it like a rebirth or a renewal. Uhm... For me it feels like a change would take place the moment between a name that exists and then rewriting the same name. If I write my name over and over on a page, my name is different every time I write it. Because it's, it's about time, it's about experiencing it as the moment. So I, I ask you to please honor my, my name change and give me this chance for intervention in my life.

JR : The law does not, in which you have placed yourself into, is procedure to make a name change. Not a spiritual change, not a change of a relationship to yourself, not a change of... not a refresh as on a computer screen where you want to refresh a website. Uhm... The law only provides this process for people that are changing their names. Mostly people change their names because of their self-identity or their religious beliefs. Or there, or there were changes in a relationship that they cannot accept... but the process isn't set up to avoid giving an opportunity to come together in a spiritual or emotional way.

KL: Or just, everyday affirmation of self, is also what I had in mind.

JR: The court's not in the business of humor. The system of laws that we have isn't set up to either help or hinder a person from doing that. I am... There is a disconnect in my mind. That a person's personal sense of identity and relationship towards the world, and the way the law treats them. I'm, I'm... I have considerable amount of difficulty in... taking that word change and entering an order that doesn't include any change... other than your perception of change. And that's not what's on the paper. All I'm doing is changing the paper.

KL: I have the feeling that the technology that we have available can make that change for us. It's like, replacing information. The computer, the technology, the system has a way of erasing you, and, and i feel that, uhm... this is a change I have seen in my lifetime. And I, I just, I'm really interested, in... just reestablishing, uhm... I felt I had laid that out for you but it's difficult to describe. I don't feel that this intervention into my life, or into the system is in any way a danger to the system, or, or to myself, and no one has appeared here to object this change. And I also respect your...

JR : But it's not a change.

KL: I should have brought a philosopher... I feel, I feel it, it is a change. Moment to moment in my life I experience many changes and I am looking for the approval of the court to just to kind of sanction that change for me.

JR : How many more times are you going to come to the court and change your name, because you're changing every fifteen minutes, or...?

KL: Well, I've been thinking about this change for a year and it brought me here today and I would like to experience what the differences might be. I can't make that decision about how many times I might need a refresh. But I want to respect the court's time, as well.

JR : Well...

KL: And I'm not here to abuse the system.

JR : I, I don't think that you are.

KL: Okay. Thank you.

JR: And I don't mind the time. I just don't know that I have the legal authority to change your name when it's not a change. The code sections talk about changing. Can I give you an order that doesn't change your name at all? That keeps your name the same? Is that the same as granting a name change? And I think not. And I'm going to do this, I'm going to continue this matter for two weeks... and try to think about these issues in this time...

KL: Thank you.

JR: I'll try to puzzle out in my mind whether I ought to do it. Um, clearly the law gives me the discretion given here to make a name change or not, um,... But I do need to know in my own mind that the law permits me to do it. I know that the law permits me to say "no", but does the law permit me to say "yes", is the second question. And I'll go do some legal research, quite frankly it is the first time anybody has come here and tried to do this.

KL: Thank you I appreciate your time, thank you.

JR: So, it's going to be on October fifth. I'll see you back on that day.

KL: Thank you.

JR: And you don't have to sit down because you're not going to be taking any papers with you today.